

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS FO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.tepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/805,170	03/19/2004	Marc David Abrahams	81101/7114	7393
37123 7590 66082009 FITCH EVEN TABIN & FLANNERY 120 SOUTH LASALLE STREET			EXAMINER	
			SHIU, HO T	
SUITE 1600 CHICAGO, IL	. 60603-3406		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2457	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/08/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/805,170 ABRAHAMS ET AL. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit HO SHIU 2457 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 February 2009. 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-12 and 14-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-12, and 14-20 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are; a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abevance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.

Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/S5/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date _

Notice of Informal Patent Application

6) Other:

Application/Control Number: 10/805,170 Page 2

Art Unit: 2457

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 1-12, and 14-20 are pending in this application.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - a. (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be petented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1, 2, 9-12, 15-16 and 18, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Paya et al (US Pub # 2004/0181598 A1, hereinafter Paya) in view of Malik (US Patent # 7,269,624 B1, hereinafter Malik) and in further view of Saito et al (US Pub # 2001/0044894, hereinafter Saito).
- 4. With respect to claim 1, Paya discloses creating a unique customer identification for a user of the customer device ([0008], lines 6-18); storing the unique customer identification on the first server ([0008], lines 6-18); communicating the unique customer identification to ([0008], lines 6-18), a client running the client application ([0007]); wherein the communication does not include a cookie sent to a browser ([0007]). Storing the unique customer identification on the client ([0010], lines 6-18); communicating the unique customer identification from the client to the first

Art Unit: 2457

server or one of the other servers ([0008], lines 1-18); and authenticating the user by matching the unique customer identification received at the first server or one of the other servers with the unique customer identification stored on the first server or one of the other servers ([0008], lines 1-18), but does not clearly disclose a method for computer network access comprising the steps of: running a client application wherein, the client application is not a web browser, and the client application runs on a customer device; entering user information into the customer device; communicating the entered user information to a first server; storing the user information on the first server, communicating the unique customer identification to other servers running a plurality of server applications, and storing the unique customer identification on the client and the other servers.

In the same field of endeavor, Malik discloses a method for computer network access comprising the steps of: running a client application wherein (col. 5, lines 10-25), the client application is not a web browser (col. 5, lines 10-25), and the client application runs on a customer device (col. 5, lines 10-25); entering user information into the customer device (col. 5, lines 10-25); communicating the entered user information to a first server (col. 5, lines 10-25); storing the user information on the first server (col. 5, lines 10-25), authenticating the user by matching the unique customer identification received at the first server or one of the other servers with the unique customer identification stored on the first server or one of the other servers (col. 5, lines 59-67, col. 6, lines 1-22).

Art Unit: 2457

Malik and Paya are analogous art since they both are both of class 709 and both disclose managing communication sessions. Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the teachings of Paya with the teachings of Malik whom disclose saving entered user information on a server and authorizing the entered information with the client in order to be able to directly provide instructions to the source server through e-mail messages and various modules. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to incorporate the teachings so it can be easily implemented on any network since only the email server needs to be modified.

However, Malik and Paya do not clearly disclose communicating the unique customer identification to other servers running a plurality of server applications, and storing the unique customer identification on the client and the other servers.

In the same field of endeavor, Saito discloses communicating the unique customer identification to other servers running a plurality of server applications ([0104]), and storing the unique customer identification on the client and the other servers ([0104], when any computer/server receives information, it has to store it at least temporarily in order to analyze the information).

Malik, Paya, and Saito are analogous art since Malik, Paya, and Saito all disclose identifying a client/user. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Paya and Malik with the teachings of Saito whom disclose distributing unique identifications to other servers in order to be able to incorporate a user having different combinations of user

Art Unit: 2457

ID's and passwords or certificates for a plurality of kinds of services processed by the plurality of application servers. One of ordinary skill would have been motivated to combine these teachings in order for a more efficient use of user and password by utilizing a single-sign-on feature.

- 5. With respect to claim 2, it is rejected for the same reasons as claim 1 above. In addition, Malik discloses in the step of authenticating the user by matching the unique customer identification, the first server and each of the other servers has a particular service available to the user of the customer device and the user of the customer device is not allowed access to the particular service if the unique customer identification received at the does not match the stored unique customer identification (col. 5, lines 59-67, col. 6, lines 1-22).
- 6. With respect to claims 9 and 10, Paya discloses creating a unique customer identification for a user of the customer device ([0008], lines 6-18); storing the unique customer identification on the first server ([0008], lines 6-18); communicating the unique customer identification to ([0008], lines 6-18), a client running the client application ([0007]), and other servers running a plurality of server applications ([0007]); wherein the communication does not include a cookie sent to a browser ([0007]). Storing the unique customer identification on the client and the other servers ([0010], lines 6-18); communicating the unique customer identification from the client to the first server or one of the other servers ([0008], lines 1-18); and authenticating

Art Unit: 2457

the user by matching the unique customer identification received at the first server or one of the other servers with the unique customer identification stored on the first server or one of the other servers ([0008], lines 1-18) but does not clearly disclose a digital computer system and a computer-readable medium storing a computer program, programmed to perform the following steps: run a client application wherein, the client application is not a web browser, and the client application runs on a customer device; entering user information into the customer device; communicating the entered user information to a first server; storing the user information on the first server and wherein each of the other servers has a particular service available to the user of the customer device and wherein the user of the customer device is not allowed access to the services the unique customer identification received at the first server or one of the other servers does not match the unique customer identification stored on the first server or one of the other servers.

In the same field of endeavor, Malik discloses a digital computer system and a computer-readable medium storing a computer program, programmed to perform the following steps: run a client application wherein (col. 5, lines 10-25), the client application is not a web browser (col. 5, lines 10-25), and the client application runs on a customer device (col. 5, lines 10-25); entering user information into the customer device (col. 5, lines 10-25); communicating the entered user information to a first server (col. 5, lines 10-25); storing the user information on the first serer(col. 5, lines 10-25) and wherein each of the other servers has a particular service available to the user of the customer device is not allowed access

Art Unit: 2457

to the services the unique customer identification received at the first server or one of the other servers does not match the unique customer identification stored on the first server or one of the other servers (col. 5, lines 59-67, col. 6, lines 1-22),

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the teachings of Paya with the teachings of Malik whom disclose a communication through e-mail networks in order to be able to directly provide instructions to the source server through e-mail messages and various modules. One of ordinary skill would have been motivate to do incorporate these teachings so it can be easily implemented on any network since only the email server needs to be modified.

7. With respect to claim 11, Paya discloses a server computer running a server software application operable for creating a unique identification for a user ([0008], lines 6-18, store the unique identification on the server computer ([0008], lines 6-18), communicate the unique customer identification to a client, wherein the communication does not include a cookie sent to a browser ([0007]); and authenticate the user via the unique identification when the user communicates with the server computer ([0008], lines 1-18) but does not clearly disclose client computer running a client software application, said client computer operably connected to the server computer over a network and wherein the client software application is operable to: communicate user information to the server application, store the unique customer

Art Unit: 2457

identification, and provide the server with the unique customer identification to authenticate a user with the server application; and at least one additional server computer running an additional server software application, said additional server computer operably connected to the server computer and client computer over a network and operable for providing information services to a user, receiving the unique user identification from the server computer and authenticating the user via the unique identification when the user communicates with the additional server software application.

In the same field of endeavor, Malik discloses client computer running a client software application, said client computer operably connected to the server computer over a network and wherein the client software application is operable to: communicate user information to the server application (col. 5, lines 10-25), store the unique customer identification (col. 5, lines 10-25), and provide the server with the unique customer identification to authenticate a user with the server application (col. 5, lines 10-25).

Art Unit: 2457

Malik and Paya are analogous art since they both are both of class 709 and both disclose managing communication sessions. Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the teachings of Paya with the teachings of Malik whom disclose a communication through e-mail networks in order to be able to directly provide instructions to the source server through e-mail messages and various modules. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to combine the teachings so it can be easily implemented on any network since only the email server needs to be modified.

However, Malik and Paya do not clearly disclose at least one additional server computer running an additional server software application, said additional server computer operably connected to the server computer and client computer over a network and operable for providing information services to a user, receiving the unique user identification from the server computer and authenticating the user via the unique identification when the user communicates with the additional server software application.

In the same field of endeavor, Saito discloses disclose at least one additional server computer running an additional server software application, said additional server computer operably connected to the server computer and client computer over a network and operable for providing information services to a user ([0104]), receiving the unique user identification from the server computer and authenticating the user via the unique identification when the user communicates with the additional server software application ([0104]).

Application/Control Number: 10/805,170 Page 10

Art Unit: 2457

Malik, Paya, and Saito are analogous art since Malik, Paya, and Saito all disclose identifying a client/user. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the teachings of Paya and Malik with the teachings of Saito whom disclose distributing unique identifications to other servers in order to be able to incorporate a user having different combinations of user ID's and passwords or certificates for a plurality of kinds of services processed by the plurality of application servers. One of ordinary skill would have been motivated to combine these teachings in order for a more efficient use of user and password by utilizing a single-sign-on feature.

Art Unit: 2457

- 8. With respect to claim 12, It is rejected for the same reasons as claim 11 above. In addition, Saito discloses at least one additional server software application running on the server computer operable for providing information services to a user and is operable for receiving the unique user identification from the server computer and authenticating the user via the unique identification when the user communicates with the additional server software application ([0104]).
- With respect to claims 15 and 16, Paya discloses wherein in the step of communicating the unique customer identification to the client and other servers the unique identification is not embedded in a cookie (abstract).
- With respect to claim 18, Paya discloses wherein the client software application does not store cookies (abstract).
- 11. Claims 3 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Paya in view of Malik and in further view of Saito as applied to claim 1 and in further view of Grantges, Jr. (US Patent 6,324,648 B1, hereinafter Grantges).
- 12. With respect to claim 3, Paya and Malik discloses the claimed invention except that the method of claim 1 wherein in the step of communicating the entered user information to a first server the communication is compliant with a common gateway interface standard.

Art Unit: 2457

In the same field of endeavor, Grantges clearly discloses a web server communicates with the information collector using the well-known Gateway Interface (CGI), the specification for transferring information between a web server and CGI program (column 1, line 67, column 2, lines 1-3).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the teachings of Paya, Malik, and Saito with the teachings of Grantges whom disclose using CGI interface to communicate information to a web server in order to interface external application software with an information server. One of ordinary skill would have been motivated to incorporate these references with one another since it allows the server to pass requests from a client web browser to the external application in a more efficient manner.

13. With respect to claim 6, Paya and Malik discloses the claimed invention except wherein in the step of communicating the unique customer identification the communication complies with a common gateway interface standard.

In the same field of endeavor, Grantges clearly discloses a web server communicates with the information collector using the well-known Gateway Interface (CGI), the specification for transferring information between a web server and CGI program (column 1, line 67, column 2, lines 1-3).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the teachings of Paya, Malik, and Saito with the teachings of Grantges whom disclose using CGI interface to communicate

Art Unit: 2457

information to a web server in order to interface external application software with an information server. One of ordinary skill would have been motivated to incorporate these references with one another since it allows the server to pass requests from a client web browser to the external application in a more efficient manner.

- 14. Claims 5 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Paya in view of Malik and in further view of Saito as applied to claim 1 in further view of Heimsoth et al. (US Patent 5,764,915, hereinafter Heimsoth).
- 15. With respect to claim 5, Paya and Malik discloses the claimed invention except wherein in the step of communicating the entered user information a Berkeley System Distribution socket interface is used.

In the same field of endeavor, Heimsoth clearly discloses the process which an application needs to access the TCP/IP protocol is a communications API layer such as a BSD sockets interface (column 13, lines 25-29).

Paya, Malik, Saito, and Heimsoth are analogous art since they all disclose managing a communication session. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the networking art at the time the invention was made to have incorporated Heimsoth teachings with the teachings of Paya and Malik, in order for information from an application to communicate to a TCP/IP protocol that is embedded in every server through BSD sockets [see Heimsoth, Col. 13, lines 25-29].

Art Unit: 2457

16. With respect to claim 8, Paya and Malik discloses the claimed invention except wherein in the step of communicating the unique customer identification a Berkeley System Distribution socket interface is used.

In the same field of endeavor, Heimsoth clearly discloses the process which an application needs to access the TCP/IP protocol is a communications API layer such as a BSD sockets interface (column 13, lines 25-29).

Paya, Malik, Saito, and Heimsoth are analogous art since they all disclose managing a communication session. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the networking art at the time the invention was made to have incorporated Heimsoth teachings with the teachings of Paya and Malik, in order for information from an application to communicate to a TCP/IP protocol that is embedded in every server through BSD sockets [see Heimsoth, Col. 13, lines 25-29].

- 17. Claims 4 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Paya in view of Malik and in further view of Saito as applied to claim 1 in view of Lerner (Pub # US 2002/0010776 A1, hereinafter Lerner).
- 18. With respect to claim 4, Paya, Malik, and Saito discloses the claimed invention except wherein in the step of communicating the entered user information a JAVA servlet technology is used.

In the same field of endeavor, Lerner clearly discloses that when any web application in the same central server domain name may be subsequently read the

Art Unit: 2457

cookie when the browser is directed to a webpage, a CGI script or a java servlet located on that server. (paragraph 0037, lines 8-12).

Paya, Malik, Saito, and Lerner are analogous art since they all disclose managing a communication session. Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the teachings of Paya, Malik, and Saito with the teachings of Lerner whom disclose that employing JAVA servlet technology would used for objects that dynamically process requests and construct responses. One of ordinary skill would have been motivated to incorporate these references with one another since it is much easier to add dynamic content to the Web server using the Java platform.

- With respect to claim 7, it is being rejected for the same reasons as claim 4 above.
- 20. Claims 14 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Paya in view of Malik and in further view of Saito as applied to claim 1 in further view of Fukuda et al., (US Pub# 2002/0184539 A1, hereinafter Fukuda).
- 21. With respect to claim 14, Paya, Malik, and Saito does not disclose the step of creating a unique customer identification for the user of the consumer device the step includes generating a random number.

Art Unit: 2457

In the same field of endeavor, Fukuda discloses the step of creating a unique identification for the user includes generating a random number ([0009], lines 1-10).

Paya, Malik, Saito, and Fukuda are analogous art since they all disclose managing a communication session. Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the teachings of Paya, Malik, and Saito with the teachings of Fukuda whom disclose an order for an authentication key to possess a unique version of the program. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to incorporate these references with one another to allow generation of a specific two-dimensional code for highly accurate user authentication ([0008]).

22. With respect to claim 17, Paya and Malik does not disclose the step of communicating user information to a first server from a client the user information includes a name, address and phone number.

In the same field of endeavor, Fukuda discloses the step of communicating user information to a first server from a client the user information includes an address and a phone number ([0051], lines 1-9).

Paya, Malik, Saito, and Fukuda are analogous art since they all disclose managing a communication session. Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the teachings of Paya, Malik, and Saito with the teachings of Fukuda whom disclose an order for an authentication key to possess a unique version of the program. One of ordinary skill in

Art Unit: 2457

the art would have been motivated to incorporate these references with one another in order to know who the authentication code belongs to and if they are registered users ([0052]).

- 23. Claims 19 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Paya in view of Malik and in further view of Saito as applied to claim 1 and in further view of Baker et al., (US Patent # 5,678,041, hereinafter Baker).
- 24. With respect to claim 19, Paya, Malik, and Saito does not clearly disclose wherein the at least one additional server computer running is operably connected to the server computer through a business network.

In the same field of endeavor, Baker discloses wherein the at least one additional server computer running is operably connected to the server computer through a business network (col. 1, lines 40-59).

Paya, Malik, Saito, and Baker are analogous art since they all disclose managing a communication session. Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the teachings of Paya, Malik, and Saito with the teachings of Baker whom disclose connecting many different people on computers around the world. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to incorporate these references with one another in order to be

Art Unit: 2457

able to adapt to different types of client needs.

 With respect to claim 20, Paya, Malik, and Saito does not disclose further comprising a firewall between the one server computer and the client computer.

In the same field of endeavor, Baker discloses further comprising a firewall between the one server computer and the client computer (col. 2, lines 1-16),

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the teachings of Paya, Malik, and Saito with the teachings of Baker in order to protect the computers on a local area network from being attacked by outsiders.

Response to Arguments

26. Applicant's arguments filed 02/24/2009, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 1-20 under have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made.

Conclusion

27. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to HO SHIU whose telephone number is (571)270-3810. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thur (8:30am - 4:00pm).

Art Unit: 2457

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ario Etienne can be reached on 571-272-4001. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

HTS 06/02/2009 /Ho Ting Shiu/ Examiner, Art Unit 2457

/ARIO ETIENNE/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2457